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Sophie Germain and Fermat’s Last Theorem – II
Roger Thompson

Sophie Germain’s Theorem

Sophie Germain’s Theorem divides Fermat’s Last Theorem for any prime exponent $p$ into two cases:

Case 1: $x^p + y^p + z^p = 0$, where none of $x, y, z$ is divisible by $p$.

Case 2: $x^p + y^p + z^p = 0$, where one of $x, y, z$ is divisible by $p$.

Although she never actually proved Fermat’s Last Theorem for a single exponent in both cases, she did prove it for many exponents in case 1. The theorem contains two conditions. The second of these has two alternative formulations, shown as 2a and 2b in the following.

Sophie Germain’s theorem Let $p$ be an odd prime. Suppose there exists an auxiliary prime $q$ such that the following conditions hold.

1. $n^p \not\equiv p \mod q$ for all integers $n$.

2a. $q$ is of the form $2Np + 1$ for some integer $N$, and the set of distinct values of $x^p \mod q$ contains no consecutive non-zero values.

2b. There exists a prime $q$ such that for all pairwise relatively prime $a$, $b$ and $c$ such that $a^p + b^p + c^p \equiv 0 \mod q$, $q$ is a factor of $abc$.

Then there are no non-zero integers $x, y, z$ such that $x^p + y^p + z^p = 0$ if none of $x, y, z$ is divisible by $p$; i.e. case 1 of Fermat’s Last Theorem is proven.

Sophie Germain’s approach to condition 2a

Instead of dealing with $N$ values one at a time, her idea is use a fixed (but unspecified) odd $p$ and show that if there is a pair of $p$th powers mod $(2Np + 1)$ that are consecutive, there are six algebraically distinct such pairs. She then shows that subject to certain conditions, it is possible to derive twelve distinct $p$th powers. Since there are $2Np$th powers mod $(2Np + 1)$, this immediately shows that consecutive $p$th powers mod $(2Np + 1)$ cannot exist for $N = 1, 2, 4, 5$, since these only have 2, 4, 8 or 10 $p$th powers mod $(2Np + 1)$. The conditions she needs are:

(i) $N$ is not a multiple of 3. We have already seen that multiples of 3 are troublesome.

(ii) $n^p \not\equiv 2 \mod (2Np + 1)$ for all integers $n$. Since $p$ is odd, this implies $n^p \not\equiv \pm 2 \mod (2Np + 1)$.

Since this has to hold for condition 2a to apply (for otherwise 1 and 2 would be consecutive $p$th powers), it is no extra restriction; rather she is exploring whether it is sufficient for condition 2a to apply. Finding the exceptions is
straightforward. If \( n^p \equiv 2 \mod (2Np + 1) \) for some integer \( n \), we must have \( 2^{2N} \equiv 1 \mod (2Np + 1) \) (by raising both sides to the \( 2N \)th power). All we need to do is factorize \( 2^{2N} - 1 \) and see for what factors of the form \( 2Nx + 1 \), \( x \) is prime.

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
N & 2^{2N} - 1 & \text{Factors} & \text{Excluded } p \\
1 & 3 & 2 \times 1 + 1 & \text{None} \\
2 & 15 & 3 \times (4 \times 1 + 1) & \text{None} \\
4 & 255 & 3 \times 5 \times (8 \times 2 + 1) & 2 \\
5 & 1023 & 3 \times (10 \times 1 + 1)(10 \times 3 + 1) & 3 \\
7 & 16383 & 3 \times (14 \times 3 + 1)(14 \times 9 + 1) & 3 \\
8 & 65535 & 3 \times 5 \times (16 \times 1 + 1)(16 \times 16 + 1) & \text{None}
\end{array}
\]

The following arguments are taken from Sophie Germain’s unpublished manuscript ‘Remarque sur l’impossibilité de satisfaire en nombres entiers à l’équation \( x^p + y^p = z^p \)’. Her mathematical style here is very terse, so while the arguments are as presented there, they have been expanded upon (at length, in some cases).

We first show how the above conditions arise. Let \( v \) be a primitive \( 2N \)th root of 1 modulo \( q \), where \( q = 2Np + 1 \). Then by definition, \( v^{2N} \equiv 1 \mod q \), \( v^N \equiv -1 \mod q \). Since \( q - 1 \) is divisible by \( 2Np \), primitive \( 2Np \)th roots of 1 modulo \( q \) exist. Let \( a \) be such a root. Then \( a^p \) must be a primitive \( 2N \)th root of 1 modulo \( q \), since the set \( \{1, a, a^2, \ldots, a^{2N(p-1)}\} \) consists of every \( p \)th element of \( \{1, a, a^2, \ldots, a^{2Np-1}\} \), which are all distinct. We therefore have \( v \equiv a^p \mod q \) for some \( a \).

Suppose condition 2a is not satisfied, so that \( v^S \equiv v^T + 1 \mod q \) for some \( S, T < 2N \), with \( v^S \not\equiv 0 \mod q \), \( v^T \not\equiv 0 \mod q \). Since \( q \) is prime, \( v^{-T} \) exists, so we can multiply to give \( v^{S-T} \equiv 1 + v^{-T} \equiv 1 + v^{2N-T} \mod q \). Similarly, \( v^{-S} \) exists, so \( 1 \equiv v^{T-S} + v^{-S} \equiv v^{T-S} - v^{N-S} \mod q \), i.e. \( v^{T-S} \equiv v^{N-S} \mod q \). Repeating with a further three similar operations gives the six relations:

\[
\begin{align*}
(a) & \quad 1 + v^T \equiv v^S \mod q, \\
(b) & \quad 1 + v^{2N-T} \equiv v^{S-T} \mod q, \\
(c) & \quad 1 + v^{N-S} \equiv v^{T-S} \mod q, \\
(d) & \quad 1 + v^{N+S} \equiv v^{N+T} \mod q, \\
(e) & \quad 1 + v^{N+S-T} \equiv v^{N-T} \mod q, \\
(f) & \quad 1 + v^{N+T-S} \equiv v^{2N-S} \mod q.
\end{align*}
\]

We now examine the cases where these six relations can be reduced. Since the relations are symbolic, we only have to compare (a) with (b) to (f).

1. (a) and (b) are equivalent: \( T \equiv 2N - T \mod 2N \) (\( 2N \) rather than \( q \), since we are dealing with the exponents, which run from 0 to \( 2N - 1 \)), and \( S \equiv S - T \mod 2N \), so \( T = 0 \), reducing the six relations to three:

\[
\begin{align*}
(g) & \quad 2 \equiv v^S \mod q, \\
(h) & \quad 1 + v^{N-S} \equiv v^{2N-S} \mod q, \\
(i) & \quad 1 + v^{N+S} \equiv v^{N} \mod q.
\end{align*}
\]
Similarly, the equivalence of (a) and (d), or the equivalence of (a) and (f) both lead to \(2 \equiv v^X \mod q\) for a particular \(X\). By requiring \(n^p \neq 2 \mod q\) for all integers \(n\), these reductions cannot occur, since we have already shown that \(v\) is a power of \(p\).

2. (a) and (c) are equivalent: \(T \equiv N - S \mod 2N, S \equiv T - S \mod 2N\), giving \(2S \equiv T \mod 2N, 3S \equiv N \mod 2N\). The solution \(T = 0, S = N\) is disallowed, since this would imply \(v^S \equiv 2 \mod q\), so \(N\) must be a multiple of 3 if this reduction is allowed. The equivalence of (a) and (e) gives rise to the same expressions.

If none of the right hand side powers of \(v\) equals one of the left hand side powers of \(v\), we have twelve distinct \(p\)th powers. We now need to see what happens if one of the right hand side powers of \(v\) equals one of the left hand side powers of \(v\). Since the relations are symbolic, we only have to compare the right hand side of (a), i.e. \(v^S\) with the \(v\) powers on the left hand sides of (b) to (f).

If \(S \equiv N - S \mod 2N\) (from (c)), we get \((1 + v^T)^2 = 1 + 2v^T + v^{2T} \equiv v^{2S} \equiv v^N \equiv -1 \mod q\), so \(-v^{2T} \equiv 2(1 + v^T) \equiv 2v^S \mod q\), using \(1 + v^T \equiv v^S \mod q\) from (a). Multiplying by \(v^{2N-S}\), we get \(2 \equiv -v^{2T+2N-S} \equiv v^{2T+N-S} \mod q\). Since we have already excluded 2 as a \(p\)th power, we cannot have \(S \equiv N - S \mod 2N\).

If \(S \equiv N + S \mod 2N\) (from (d)), we get \(N \equiv 0 \mod 2N\), which is impossible.

If \(S \equiv N + S - T \mod 2N\) (from (e)), we get \(N \equiv T \mod 2N\), leading to \(1 + v^T \equiv 1 - 1 \equiv 0 \mod 2N\), but from (a), this would imply \(v^S \equiv 0 \mod 2N\), which is not allowed.

If \(S \equiv 2N - T \mod 2N\) (from (b)), the six relations become:

(a1) \(1 + v^{2N-S} \equiv v^S \mod q\),
(b1) \(1 + v^S \equiv v^{2S} \mod q\),
(c1) \(1 + v^{N-S} \equiv v^{2N-2S} \mod q\),
(d1) \(1 + v^{N+S} \equiv v^{N-S} \mod q\),
(e1) \(1 + v^{N+2S} \equiv v^{N+S} \mod q\),
(f1) \(1 + v^{N-2S} \equiv v^{2N-S} \mod q\).

From (e1), (d1), (c1), \(v^{N+2S}, v^{N+S}, v^{N-S}, v^{2N-2S}\) are consecutive integers modulo \(q\), \(x\) to \(x + 3\) say. From (f1), (a1), (b1), \(v^{N-2S}, v^{2N-S}, v^S, v^{2S}\) are consecutive integers modulo \(q\), \(y\) to \(y + 3\) say.

If \(S \equiv N + T - S \mod 2N\) (from (f)), the six relations become:

(a2) \(1 + v^{N+2S} \equiv v^S \mod q\),
(b2) \(1 + v^{N-2S} \equiv v^{N-S} \mod q\),
(c2) \(1 + v^{N-S} \equiv v^{N+S} \mod q\),
(d2) \(1 + v^{N+S} \equiv v^{2S} \mod q\),
(e2) \(1 + v^{2N-S} \equiv v^{2N-2S} \mod q\),
(f2) \(1 + v^S \equiv v^{2N-S} \mod q\).

From (a2), (f2), (e2), \(v^{N+2S}, v^S, v^{2N-S}, v^{2N-2S}\) are consecutive integers modulo \(q\), \(y\) to \(y + 3\) say. From (b2), (c2), (d2), \(v^{N-2S}, v^{N-S}, v^{N+S}, v^{2S}\) are
consecutive integers modulo \( q \), \( x \) to \( x + 3 \) say. In the \( x \) cases for both the above sets of relations, we have \((x+1)(x+2) \equiv v^{2N} \equiv 1 \mod q\), \( x(x+3) \equiv -1 \mod q \). Similarly, \((y+1)(y+2) \equiv 1 \mod q\), \( y(y+3) \equiv -1 \mod q \), so in further analysis, we can treat \( x, y \) similarly.

Let \( z \equiv x + 1 \mod q \). Then \( x + 2 \equiv z^{-1} \mod q \), and \( 2x + 3 \equiv z + z^{-1} \mod q \). So \((2x+3)^2 \equiv (z+z^{-1})^2 \equiv z^2 + z^{-2} + 2 \equiv (x+1)^2 + (x+2)^2 + 2 \equiv 2x^2 + 6x + 7 \mod q \). Now \( 2(x+1)(x+2) \equiv 2 \equiv 2x^2 + 6x + 4 \mod q \), so \((2x+3)^2 \equiv 5 \mod q \). Similarly, \((2y+3)^2 \equiv 5 \mod q \), so either \( x \equiv y \mod q \) (impossible, since (a) to (f) are distinct), or \( 2x + 3 \equiv -(2y + 3) \mod q \), giving \( y \equiv -x - 3 \mod q \), since \( q \) is odd.

The elements which are powers of \( v \) in (a1) to (f1), (a2) to (f2), which are all \( p \)th powers, are therefore \( \pm x \), \( \pm(x + 1) \), \( \pm(x + 2) \), \( \pm(x + 3) \) (Note that Laubenbacher and Pengelley (2010) p. 28 assumes that six distinct pairs implies twelve distinct elements—not so, there are only eight).

Rearranging \((x+1)(x+2) \equiv 1 \mod q\), we get \( x(x+1) \equiv -(2x+1) \mod q \). Since \( x, x+1 \) and \(-1 \) are \( p \)th powers, so is \( 2x + 1 \). If we assume \( 2x + 1 \equiv x + k \mod q \), \( 0 \leq k \leq 3 \), then \( x + 3 - k \equiv 2 \mod q \), so one of \( x, x+1, x+2, x+3 \) is excluded, so the assumption is invalid. We assume \( 2x + 1 \equiv -(x + k) \mod q \), \( 0 \leq k \leq 3 \), and use the identity \( x(x+3) \equiv x^2 + 3x \equiv -1 \mod q \), i.e. \( 3x \equiv -x^2 - 1 \mod q \).

If \( 2x + 1 \equiv -x \mod q \), \( x^2 \equiv 0 \mod q \), impossible. If \( 2x + 1 \equiv -(x + 1) \mod q \), \( x^2 \equiv 1 \mod q \), giving \( x \equiv \pm 1 \mod q \), with \( x + 1 \equiv 2 \mod q \), or \( x + 3 \equiv 2 \mod q \), both forbidden (this corrects a mistake in the manuscript). If \( 2x + 1 \equiv -(x + 2) \mod q \), \( 3x \equiv -3 \mod q \), i.e. \( x \equiv -1 \mod q \), since \( q \neq 3 \). But then \( x + 3 \equiv 2 \mod q \), forbidden (this corrects a mistake in the manuscript). If \( 2x + 1 \equiv -(x + 3) \mod q \), \( 3x \equiv -4 \mod q \equiv -x^2 - 1 \mod q \) from above, giving \( x^2 \equiv 3 \mod q \). We therefore have \( x^2 + 2(3x+4) \equiv 3 \mod q \), or \( (x+2)^2 \equiv 4 \mod q \), giving \( x + 3 \equiv \pm 2 \mod q \), both of which are forbidden.

Since \( \pm 1 \) are \( p \)th powers, we have (at least) the following twelve distinct elements: \( \pm 1, \pm x, \pm(x+1), \pm(x+2), \pm(x+3), \pm(2x+1) \). We have therefore shown that consecutive \( p \)th powers modulo \( 2Np + 1 \) cannot exist for \( N = 1, 2, 4, 5 \), since each of these has less than twelve distinct \( p \)th powers, so we have proved case 1 of Fermat’s Last Theorem for (at least) all \( p < 197 \) apart from \( p = 47, 59, 61, 167 \).

Sophie Germain’s proofs for \( N = 7, 8 \), only found in unpublished manuscripts, were independently rediscovered in 1908 by Dickson (see Laubenbacher and Pengelley (2010) section 3.3.2). While they have much in common, some arguments are sufficiently different to warrant separate sections for the proofs.
Sophie Germain’s proof for $N = 7$

The techniques and use of variables from the previous section are also used here. We will also need the following:

\[
(1 - v) \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} v^k \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} v^k - v \sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} v^k \equiv 1 - v^{2N} \equiv 0 \text{ mod } q.
\]

Since $v \not\equiv 1 \text{ mod } q$, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} v^k \equiv 0 \text{ mod } q$. If $d > 1$ is a factor of $2N$,

\[
\sum_{k=0}^{2N-1} v^k \equiv (1 + v + v^2 + \cdots + v^{d-1})(1 + v^d + v^{2d} + \cdots + v^{2N-d}) \text{ mod } q
\]

\[
\equiv (v^d - 1)(v - 1)^{-1}(1 + v^d + v^{2d} + \cdots + v^{2N-d}) \text{ mod } q.
\]

Since $v$ is a primitive $2N$th root of $1$ modulo $q$, and $1 < d < 2N$, we have $v^d \not\equiv 1 \text{ mod } q$, and $v \not\equiv 1 \text{ mod } q$; so $\sum_{k=0}^{2N/d-1} v^{dk} \equiv 0 \text{ mod } q$. If $d$ is even = $2e$ say, we have $\sum_{k=0}^{N/e-1} v^{2ek} \equiv 0 \text{ mod } q$, giving $\sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (v^2f)^k \equiv 0 \text{ mod } q$ for any $f$, regardless of whether $v^f$ is or is not a primitive $2N$th root of $1$ modulo $q$.

She examines the combinations of odd and even values of $S$ and $T$ in the powers of $v$ for the six relations explored earlier:

(a) $1 + v^T \equiv v^S \text{ mod } q$,
(b) $1 + v^{2N-T} \equiv v^{S-T} \text{ mod } q$,
(c) $1 + v^{N-S} \equiv v^{T-S} \text{ mod } q$,
(d) $1 + v^{N+S} \equiv v^{N+T} \text{ mod } q$,
(e) $1 + v^{N+S-T} \equiv v^{N-T} \text{ mod } q$,
(f) $1 + v^{N+T-S} \equiv v^{2N-S} \text{ mod } q$.

She first examines the case where none of the right hand side powers of $v$ equals one of the left hand side powers of $v$. In what follows, exp-even/odd means the exponent of $v$ is even/odd. In the table below summarizing these combinations, the two entries represent the left and right hand sides of each equivalence. For $N = 7$, this gives the following.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S even, T even</th>
<th>S even, T odd</th>
<th>S odd, T even</th>
<th>S odd, T odd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) exp-odd, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In each $S, T$ combination, there are six even exponent entries, which together with $1 = v^0$ gives seven even exponents. Because the relations are
symbolic, we may choose any of the four combinations of $S, T$. She chooses $S, T$ both even and using the relation $1 + v^T \equiv v^S \mod q$, applies it to the sum of all the even terms, which we have required to be distinct. These sum to zero modulo $q$ from the above result, so we have

$$1 + v^T + v^S + v^{2N-S} + v^{2N-T} + v^{S-T} + v^{T-S} \equiv 0 \mod q.$$ 

Multiplying by $v^S$ gives

$$v^S + v^{2T+S} + v^{2S+T} + v^T + v^S + v^{2T+S} \equiv 0 \mod q,$$

which factorizes to give $(1+v^T)v^S(1+v^S+v^T) \equiv 0 \mod q \equiv 2v^Sv^Sv^S \mod q$ since $1 + v^T \equiv v^S \mod q$. Since 2 is not a $p$th power, but the other terms are, this cannot occur, so the terms cannot all be distinct.

She next examines the case where one of the right hand side powers of $v$ equals one of the left hand side powers of $v$, and apply the same techniques as above. Firstly for the list (a1) to (f1) from the previous section:

- (a1) $1 + v^{2N-S} \equiv v^S \mod q$, 
- (b1) $1 + v^S \equiv v^S \mod q$, 
- (c1) $1 + v^{N-S} \equiv v^{2N-2S} \mod q$, 
- (d1) $1 + v^{N+S} \equiv v^{N-S} \mod q$, 
- (e1) $1 + v^{N+2S} \equiv v^{N+S} \mod q$, 
- (f1) $1 + v^{N-2S} \equiv v^{2N-S} \mod q$.

We construct a summary similar to that above, also including their $x$ representation derived in the previous section:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$S$ even</th>
<th>$S$ odd</th>
<th>$x$ representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a1)</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x+2), -(x+1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b1)</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x+1), -x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c1)</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-even</td>
<td>$x+2, x+3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d1)</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$x+1, x+2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e1)</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$x, x+1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f1)</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x+3), -(x+2)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the list (a2) to (f2) we have:

- (a2) $1 + v^{N+2S} \equiv v^S \mod q$, 
- (b2) $1 + v^{N-2S} \equiv v^{N-S} \mod q$, 
- (c2) $1 + v^{N-S} \equiv v^{N+S} \mod q$, 
- (d2) $1 + v^{N+S} \equiv v^{2S} \mod q$, 
- (e2) $1 + v^{2N-S} \equiv v^{2N-2S} \mod q$, 
- (f2) $1 + v^S \equiv v^{2N-S} \mod q$.

with the following summary table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$S$ even</th>
<th>$S$ odd</th>
<th>$x$ representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a1)</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x+3), -(x+2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b2)</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$x, x+1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c2)</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$x+1, x+2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d2)</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$x+2, x+3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e2)</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x+1), -x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f2)</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x+2), -(x+1)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
By inspection $x$, $x+1$, $x+2$ are all exp-odd or all exp-even in either table. If they are exp-even, we define $w \equiv x+1 \equiv u^2 \mod q$, where $u$ is some $p$th power. This gives $w^6 + w^5 + w^4 + w^3 + w^2 + w + 1 \equiv 0 \mod q$, regardless of whether or not $w$ is a primitive $N$th root of 1 modulo $q$, as the analysis at the start of this section shows.

Now $w^2 + w \equiv x^2 + 2x + 1 + x + 1 \equiv (x+1)(x+2) \equiv 1 \mod q$ (recall the last equivalence from the previous section). We can apply this repeatedly: $2w^4 + w^3 + w^2 + w + 1 \equiv 0 \mod q; -w^3 + 3w^2 + w + 1 \equiv 0 \mod q; w^3 + w^2 - w \equiv 0 \mod q; 4w^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \mod q$.

If $x, x+1, x+2$ are all exp-odd, $-(x+2)$ is exp-even by inspection. Defining $z \equiv -(x+2) \equiv u^2 \mod q$, where $u$ is some $p$th power, we have

$$z^6 + z^5 + z^4 + z^3 + z^2 + z + 1 \equiv 0 \mod q.$$ 

Let $w = x+2 = -z$. Then $w^6 - w^5 + w^4 - w^3 + w^2 - w + 1 \equiv 0 \mod q$. Now

$$w^2 - w = x^2 + 4x + 4 - x - 2 = (x+1)(x+2) \equiv 1 \mod q,$$

which we can apply repeatedly: $2w^4 - w^3 + w^2 - w + 1 \equiv 0 \mod q; w^3 + 3w^2 - w + 1 \equiv 0 \mod q; w^3 - w^2 - w \equiv 0 \mod q; 4w^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \mod q$

So whether or not $x+1$ is exp-even or exp-odd, we get $4w^2 \equiv -1 \mod q$ for some $p$th power $w$. We have already ruled out 2 as a $p$th power, so 4 must be a $p$th power which is also an odd power of $w$, since $w^2$ is an even power, and $-1 \equiv w^N \mod q$ is an odd power.

Since $w^{2N} \equiv 1 \mod q$, we just need to check the factors of

$$4^{14} - 1 = 5 \times 3 \times (14 \times 2 + 1)(14 \times 8 + 1)(14 \times 3 + 1)(14 \times 9 + 1).$$

Since $p$ must be a prime, we need to examine $p = 2$, $q = 29$ and $p = 3$, $q = 43$. We have $32^3 \equiv 2 \mod 43$, so $p = 3, q = 43$ is excluded. This exclusion of $p = 3$ was also predicted from the table on page 2. The squares modulo 29, sorted into order, are 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13, 16, 20, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, and we have 4, 5, 6, 7 and $-7$, $-6$, $-5$, $-4$ as the eight consecutive entries predicted. We have therefore proved case 1 of Fermat’s Last Theorem for (at least) $p = 47, 59, 167$.

Interestingly, Legendre recognizes the case of $p = 3, q = 43$ arising from $v^j \equiv \pm 2 \mod q$, with $0 < j < 7$, and it happens that 32 is a primitive 14th root modulo 43. He defines his way round this by only considering $p > 3$, rather than introducing the condition $n^p \not\equiv 2 \mod q$ (see Ribenboim (1991), section IV.1 1E case 5, pp. 116–117).
Sophie Germain’s proof for $N = 8$

Note that the introductory part of the summary of the proof in Del Centina (2008) p. 369 is wrong: ‘Let $P = 16p + 1$. We already know that there are no pairs of consecutive residues for primes of the form $8p + 1$, therefore two even integers $t, s$ do not exist such that $1 + rt \equiv rs \mod P$’. This conclusion comes from a different argument given below, but not spelt out in the manuscript.

Since $N = 8$ is even the lists (a) to (f), (a1) to (f1), and (a2) to (f2) are different from those for $N = 7$:

Recall that list (a) to (f) applies when all the powers of $v$ are distinct.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S$ even, $T$ even</th>
<th>$S$ even, $T$ odd</th>
<th>$S$ odd, $T$ even</th>
<th>$S$ odd, $T$ odd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Since $N = 8$, we must have eight exp-even and eight exp-odd entries. For $S, T$ both even, we have twelve exp-even entries, and for all other cases, we have eight exp-odd entries, which together with $-1$ would make nine, so in all cases, the entries cannot all be distinct. Recall that lists (a1) to (f1), and (a2) to (f2) apply when a left hand side power of $v$ equals one on the right hand side:

$$(a1) \ 1 + v^{2N-S} \equiv v^S \mod q, \quad (b1) \ 1 + v^S \equiv v^{2S} \mod q,$$

$$(c1) \ 1 + v^{N-S} \equiv v^{2N-2S} \mod q, \quad (d1) \ 1 + v^{N+S} \equiv v^{N-S} \mod q,$$

$$(e1) \ 1 + v^{N+2S} \equiv v^{N+S} \mod q, \quad (f1) \ 1 + v^{N-2S} \equiv v^{2N-2S} \mod q.$$ 

The summary table is as follows.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$S$ even</th>
<th>$S$ odd</th>
<th>$x$ representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x + 2), -(x + 1)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x + 1), -x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$x + 2, x + 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$x + 1, x + 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$x, x + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f) exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x + 3), -(x + 2)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the list (a2) to (f2) we have:

$$(a2) \ 1 + v^{N+2S} \equiv v^S \mod q, \quad (b2) \ 1 + v^{N-2S} \equiv v^{N-S} \mod q,$$

$$(c2) \ 1 + v^{N-S} \equiv v^{N+S} \mod q, \quad (d2) \ 1 + v^{N+S} \equiv v^{2S} \mod q,$$

$$(e2) \ 1 + v^{2N-S} \equiv v^{2N-2S} \mod q, \quad (f2) \ 1 + v^S \equiv v^{2N-S} \mod q.$$
with the following summary table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>S even</th>
<th>S odd</th>
<th>x representation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(a1)</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x + 3)$, $-(x + 2)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(b2)</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-odd</td>
<td>$x$, $x + 1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(c2)</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$x + 1$, $x + 2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(d2)</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-even</td>
<td>$x + 2$, $x + 3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(e2)</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-even</td>
<td>$-(x + 1)$, $-x$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(f2)</td>
<td>exp-even, exp-even</td>
<td>exp-odd, exp-odd</td>
<td>$-(x + 2)$, $-(x + 1)$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This time, we only have eight distinct entries. For $S$ even, we still have too many, since 1 is an exp-even, so we need to consider the case where $S$ is odd, where we have four exp-odd and four exp-even entries. From previous analysis, we have $(x + 1)(x + 2) \equiv 1 \mod q$, giving $x^2 + 3x + 1 \equiv 1 \mod q$, whence $x^4 \equiv 9x^2 + 6x + 1 \mod q$ and $(x + 1)^2 \equiv -x \mod q$.

In both cases, $x$ is exp-even $= v^{2a}$ say, and $v^{2N} \equiv 1 \mod q$, so $x^N = x^8 \equiv 1 \mod q$. In the case $x^4 \equiv 1 \mod q$, we have $x^4 \equiv 1 \equiv 9x^2 + 6x + 1$, so $3x(x + 2) \equiv 0 \mod q$. By stipulation $q$ is not a multiple of 3, and $x \not\equiv 0 \mod q$, so $3x + 2 \equiv 0 \mod q$. But $-(3x + 1) \equiv x^2 \mod q$, so adding, we get $x^2 \equiv 1 \mod q$, impossible. In the case $x^4 \equiv -1 \mod q$, we have $x^4 \equiv -1 \equiv 9x^2 + 6x + 1$, so

$$9x^2 + 6x + 2 \equiv 0 \equiv 9x^2 - 2x^2 \equiv 7x^2 \mod q,$$

using $-(3x + 1) \equiv x^2 \mod q$ again. Since $q$ is prime, and of the form $16p + 1$, we get $x^2 \equiv 0 \mod q$, impossible.

We have therefore proved case 1 of Fermat’s Last Theorem for (at least) $p = 61$. While it is clear she is trying to invent an inductive method for tackling $N = 10$ and beyond, this is very much ‘work in progress’, so is not pursued further here.

**References**


Solution 235.4 – Matrix

Construct an \( n \times n \) matrix as follows. Partition \( n \) into \( n_1 \) and \( n_2 \), \( n_1, n_2 \geq 2 \), and divide the matrix into four parts. The top left part is an \( n_1 \times n_1 \) matrix with \( a \) on the diagonal and \( c \) everywhere else. The bottom right part is an \( n_2 \times n_2 \) matrix with \( b \) on the diagonal and \( d \) everywhere else. The rest of the matrix elements are \( e \). Also we insist that \( a, b, c, d \) and \( e \) are integers satisfying \( a \neq b, a \neq c \) and \( b \neq d \).

In every example I (TF) have created I have observed that the rank of the matrix is either \( n \) or \( n - 1 \). So here is the problem: Either prove that the matrix has rank at least \( n - 1 \), or find a counter-example. Also it would be nice to know exactly when rank \( n - 1 \) occurs.

Dave Wild

The rank of a matrix can be determined by finding the number of linearly independent rows of the matrix. Adding two columns which contain zeros to the end of each row gives another matrix with the same rank. Add row \( n + 1 \), which contains \( c \) in the first \( n_1 \) columns, \( e \) in the next \( n_2 \) columns, and 0 and 1 in the last two columns. Add row \( n + 2 \), which contains \( e \) in the first \( n_1 \) columns, \( d \) in the next \( n_2 \) columns, and 1 and 0 in the last two columns. As these additional rows are linearly independent of all the other rows in the matrix it follows that the new \( (n + 2) \times (n + 2) \) matrix has a rank 2 more than the original matrix. Now subtract row \( n + 1 \) from the first \( n_1 \) rows and row \( n + 2 \) from the following \( n_2 \) rows.

For example, when \( n_1 = 3 \) and \( n_2 = 4 \) the initial and resultant \( (n + 2) \times (n + 2) \) matrices are

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
a  & c  & c  & e  & e  & e  & e  & e  & 0  & 0 \\
c  & a  & c  & e  & e  & e  & e  & e  & 0  & 0 \\
c  & c  & a  & e  & e  & e  & e  & e  & 0  & 0 \\
eas  & e  & b  & d  & d  & d  & e  & e  & e  & 0  \\
es  & e  & e  & d  & b  & d  & d  & d  & e  & e  \\
es  & e  & e  & d  & d  & b  & d  & d  & e  & e  \\
es  & e  & e  & d  & d  & d  & b  & d  & d  & e  \\
ce  & c  & c  & e  & e  & e  & e  & e  & e  & 1 \\
e  & e  & e  & d  & d  & d  & d  & d  & d  & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
f  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
f  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & 0  & -1 \\
c  & c  & c  & e  & e  & e  & e  & e  & e  & 0 \\
e  & e  & e  & d  & d  & d  & d  & d  & d  & 1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \( f = a - c \) and \( g = b - d \).
As $a \neq c$ and $b \neq d$, both $f$ and $g$ are non-zero. Therefore the first $n$ rows are linearly independent and the rank of the $(n + 2) \times (n + 2)$ matrix is at least $n$. From row $n + 1$ subtract $c/f$ times the first $n_1$ rows and $e/g$ times the following $n_2$ rows so that the first $n$ columns of this row are zero. From row $n + 2$ subtract $e/f$ times the first $n_1$ rows and $d/g$ times the following $n_2$ rows so the first $n$ columns of this row are also zero. The bottom right hand corner of the matrix becomes

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{n_2 e}{g} & 1 + \frac{n_1 c}{f} \\
1 + \frac{n_2 d}{g} & \frac{n_1 e}{f}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{n_2 e}{b - d} & 1 + \frac{n_1 c}{a - c} \\
1 + \frac{n_2 d}{b - d} & \frac{n_1 e}{a - c}
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
\frac{n_2 e}{b - d} & \frac{a + (n_1 - 1)c}{a - c} \\
\frac{b + (n_2 - 1)d}{b - d} & \frac{n_1 e}{a - c}
\end{bmatrix}.
$$

If all of these elements are zero then the original matrix has rank $n - 2$. This occurs when

$$e = a + (n_1 - 1)c = b + (n_2 - 1)d = 0.$$

If not all the elements are zero but the determinant of the $2 \times 2$ matrix is zero then the rank of the original matrix will be $n - 1$. This occurs when

$$n_1 n_2 e^2 = (a + (n_1 - 1)c) (b + (n_2 - 1)d).$$

In other cases the rank of the original matrix is $n$. These criteria agree with the examples of matrices given in the problem.

**Problem 273.1 – Hair**

When you visit your hairdresser within 30 days of your previous appointment the cost is £30. Thereafter she adds a premium of $30d^{5/4}$ pence, where $d$ is the number of further days you delay your next appointment. For example, if you leave it for 42 days, the cost will be £36.70035..., which we assume she will round to £36.70. Obviously, in her view the surcharge is justified as compensation for additional work created by excessive hair growth.

If you get your hair cut at regular finite intervals to maintain a neat and tidy appearance at minimum cost, how often should you go?
Cattle

Tony Forbes

Farm animals have often featured significantly in M500—as one can see by looking at past issues. But recently, perhaps inspired by an episode of The Archers, I became interested in that most famous cow conundrum of ancient times, Archimedes’ Cattle Problem. A brief search on the Web failed to produce a complete solution that I could readily understand; so I decided to work it out for myself. And having done so I feel obliged to share the results of my labours with readers of this magazine.

According to Recreations in the Theory of Numbers by Albert Beiler, Archimedes begins (in English), ‘Compute, O friend, the host of the cattle of the Sun, giving thy mind thereto, if thou hast a share of wisdom. Compute the number which once grazed on the fields of the Thrinacian isle of Sicily, …’ In modern terminology he asks for (presumably the smallest) positive integers $W, X, Y, Z, w, x, y, z$ that satisfy

\[
W = \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} \right) X + Z, \quad X = \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} \right) Y + Z,
\]
\[
Y = \left( \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} \right) W + Z,
\]
\[
w = \left( \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{4} \right) (X + x), \quad x = \left( \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} \right) (Y + y),
\]
\[
y = \left( \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{6} \right) (Z + z), \quad z = \left( \frac{1}{6} + \frac{1}{7} \right) (W + w),
\]

$W + X$ is a square and $Y + Z$ is a triangular number.

The variables are actually the sizes of eight herds of cattle. Upper and lower case letters represent bulls and cows respectively, and these are further divided into four colours: $W, w$ white, $X, x$ black, $Y, y$ dappled, $Z, z$ yellow. However, these details need not concern us, and anyway, once you see the numbers it will be obvious that the herds must be imaginary. Otherwise the animals would have been very small.

The first seven equations form a linear system, which is solved to give

\[
W = \frac{3455494 z}{1813071}, \quad X = \frac{828946 z}{604357}, \quad Y = \frac{7358060 z}{5439213}, \quad Z = \frac{461043 z}{604357},
\]
\[
w = \frac{2402120 z}{1813071}, \quad x = \frac{543694 z}{604357}, \quad y = \frac{1171940 z}{1813071},
\]
and we put $z = 5439213$ to clear the denominators:

$$W = 10366482, \quad X = 7460514, \quad Y = 7358060, \quad Z = 4149387,$$

$$w = 7206360, \quad x = 4893246, \quad y = 3515820, \quad z = 5439213.$$

Of anyone who gets this far Archimedes says, ‘If thou canst give, O friend, the number of bulls and cows in each herd, thou art not unknowing nor unskilled in numbers, but still not yet to be counted among the wise.’

To comply with the eighth condition, $W + X = 17826996$ is a square, all we need to do is replace $s$ by $17826996/4t^2 = 4456749t^2$:

$$W = 46200808287018t^2, \quad X = 33249638308986t^2,$$

$$Y = 32793026546940t^2, \quad Z = 18492776362863t^2,$$

$$w = 32116937723640t^2, \quad x = 21807969217254t^2,$$

$$y = 15669127269180t^2, \quad z = 24241207098537t^2.$$

Well, that’s the easy stuff out of the way—and already the herds are beginning to get quite large, even when $t = 1$. But if you wish to be counted among the wise and to ‘go forth as conqueror, and rest assured that thou art proved most skilled in the science of numbers,’ it is necessary to deal with the last condition, which says that

$$Y + Z = 51285802909803t^2 = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

for some positive integer $n$, or, after multiplying by 8 and adding 1,

$$8(Y + Z) + 1 = 4729494 \cdot (9314 t)^2 + 1 = (2n + 1)^2. \quad (1)$$

If we put $u = 2n + 1$ and $v = 9314t$, then (1) becomes

$$u^2 - 4729494 v^2 = 1. \quad (2)$$

The procedure for solving equations like (2) is well known. Ignoring $(u, v) = (1, 0)$, we want the smallest positive solution $(u_0, v_0)$, and we obtain it by computing (with a little help from Mathematica) convergents $u/v$ of the continued fraction for $\sqrt{4729494}$ until we find one which works. We succeed with

$$\sqrt{4729494} \approx [2174; 1, 2, 1, 5, 2, 25, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 15, 1, 2, 16, 1, 2, 1, 1, 8, 6,$$

$$1, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 6, 1, 1, 5, 1, 1, 17, 1, 1, 1, 47, 3, 1, 1, 6, 1, 1,$$

$$3, 47, 1, 1, 17, 1, 5, 1, 1, 6, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 21, 1, 1, 6, 8, 1, 1, 2,$$

$$1, 16, 2, 1, 15, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 25, 2, 5, 1, 2, 1],$$
and this gives the convergent \( u_0/v_0 \), where
\[
\begin{align*}
u_0 &= 109931986732829734979866232821433543901088049, \\
v_0 &= 50549485234315033074477819735540408986340.
\end{align*}
\]

However, we are not done yet because, although \((u, v) = (u_0, v_0)\) satisfies (2), we have agreed that \(v\) must also be a multiple of 9314. So from \((u_0, v_0)\) we generate further solutions of (2) by computing
\[
\begin{bmatrix}
u \\
u_0
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
u_0 & 4729494 \\
u_0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
u_0 & \nu_0
\end{bmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2, \ldots,
\]
until we find one where 9314 divides \(v\). We succeed when \(j = 2328\). Then
\[
\begin{align*}
u &= 37653445023472058840 \ldots 84777023371728320049 \quad (103273 \text{ digits}), \\
v &= 17313998589517710564 \ldots 02891212883491745860 \quad (103270 \text{ digits}),
\end{align*}
\]
where for brevity we have omitted some of the digits. Observe that \(u\) is odd and you can take my word for it that \(t = v/9314\) is an integer. Thus we have the smallest solution to Archimedes’ problem:
\[
\begin{align*}
t^2 &= 34555906354559370506 \ldots 82492556252058980100 \quad (206531 \text{ digits}), \\
W &= 15965108046711445314 \ldots 25054629385150341800 \quad (206545 \text{ digits}), \\
X &= 11489713877282899997 \ldots 42829072899825178600 \quad (206545 \text{ digits}), \\
Y &= 11331927544386380771 \ldots 11404453921175894000 \quad (206545 \text{ digits}), \\
Z &= 63903464823090286500 \ldots 22697068635296026300 \quad (206544 \text{ digits}), \\
w &= 11098298923733190397 \ldots 47790395914059564000 \quad (206545 \text{ digits}), \\
x &= 75359414205454263981 \ldots 96240177238562645400 \quad (206544 \text{ digits}), \\
y &= 54146089457145667802 \ldots 02462606608963318000 \quad (206544 \text{ digits}), \\
z &= 83767688241852443869 \ldots 74928222116422113700 \quad (206544 \text{ digits}),
\end{align*}
\]
total : \(77602714064868182695 \ldots 23406626719455081800 \quad (206545 \text{ digits}).\)

Curiously, if we interchange squareness and triangularity in the last two conditions—that is, make \(W + X\) a triangular number and \(Y + Z\) a square—the solution is very similar. This time we put \(s = 11507447 t^2\) and we end up solving \(4729494 (2 \cdot 9314 t)^2 + 1 = (2n + 1)^2\), which also leads to equation (2). Moreover, the same \(v\) applies and we obtain the same numbers as before but multiplied by \(11507447/17826996 = 2471/3828\). The new total will be
\[
50093079011047356175 \ldots 35459188773190571350 \quad (206545 \text{ digits}).
\]
Problem 273.2 – Square, split and add

Tony Forbes

Let \( b \) and \( d \) be integers greater than 1. Let \( n \) be an integer such that

\[
b^{d-1} \leq n \leq b^d - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{b^d} \right\rfloor + (n^2 \mod b^d) = n.
\]

(1)

Here is what we are really doing: take a \( d \)-digit number \( n \) in base \( b \), square it, split \( n^2 \) into two numbers at the \( d \)th digit from the right and add the two parts. We get excited if \( n \) is unchanged by this process. Some examples will make things clear(er), and you can verify that the tabulated numbers really do have the stated property. For instance, \( 703 = 494 + 209 = \sqrt{494^2 209} \).

When \( b = 10 \) they are known as Kaprekar numbers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( b )</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>15</th>
<th>31</th>
<th>36</th>
<th>63</th>
<th>127</th>
<th>136</th>
<th>171</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>242</td>
<td>273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>208</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>910</td>
<td>1170</td>
<td>1261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>560</td>
<td>624</td>
<td>728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>297</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>2223</td>
<td>2728</td>
<td>4950</td>
<td>5050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>1727</td>
<td>2146</td>
<td>2640</td>
<td>3510</td>
<td>4785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>549</td>
<td>793</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>1899</td>
<td>2743</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>161</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>1205</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>1688</td>
<td>2170</td>
<td>2892</td>
<td>3374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>820</td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There are five primes in the table, namely 3, 7, 31, 127 and 13, and, remarkably, when they are represented in the relevant bases they look like this: \( 11_2, 111_2, 1111111_2, 11111111_2 \) and \( 111_3 \) respectively. If you are prepared to extend the table a little (actually quite a lot) further, you will find more primes with a similar structure; for example, \( 305175781 = 111111111111115_5, 16148168401 = 111111111111117_7, 1111111111111111111110_10 \) and the same pattern in base 19 (this is a coincidence, surely), \( 109912203092239643840221 = 111111111111111111119_19 \).

So here is the problem. Show that if \( n \) is a prime satisfying (1) for some integer \( b > 1 \), then \( n = 111 \ldots 111_b \). Or find a counter-example.
**Solution 271.1 – Complex exponential sums**

Consider the functions

\[ f(t) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-18it} - \frac{2i}{3} e^{11it}, \quad g(t) = ie^{23it} - \frac{5}{6} e^{-14it}, \]

and \[ h(t) = \left( \frac{1}{3} + i \right) e^{-17it} + \frac{i}{2} e^{44it}. \]

Show that the graphs of \( f(t) \), \( g(t) \) and \( h(t) \) have 29-fold, 37-fold and 61-fold rotational symmetry respectively.

**Dick Boardman**

We have

\[ f \left( t + \frac{2\pi}{29} \right) = \frac{1}{2} e^{-18it-36\pi i/29} - \frac{2i}{3} e^{11it+22\pi i/29} \]

\[ = e^{22\pi i/29} \left( \frac{1}{2} e^{-18it-36\pi i/29} - \frac{2i}{3} e^{11it} \right) \]

\[ = e^{-36\pi i/29} f(t). \]

This relation shows that for all points \( t \), the ratio \( f(t + 2\pi/29)/f(t) \) is a constant complex number. Moreover, the constant has absolute value 1 and represents a rotation by an angle of \( 22\pi/29 \) (approximately 136.55 degrees), producing the 29-fold symmetry. This is illustrated on the next page. Here we have split the graph of \( f(t) \), \( 0 \leq t < 2\pi \), into two parts: \( t \) in the even intervals \( [2\pi j/29, 2\pi(j + 1)/29), j = 0, 2, 4, \ldots, 26, \) left, and \( t \) in the odd intervals \( [2\pi j/29, 2\pi(j + 1)/29), j = 1, 3, \ldots, 27, \) right. Evidently the right-hand graph is obtained by rotating the left-hand graph by about 136.55 degrees.

Similarly,

\[ g \left( t + \frac{2\pi}{37} \right) = e^{-28\pi i/37} g(t) \quad \text{and} \quad h \left( t + \frac{2\pi}{61} \right) = e^{-34\pi i/61} h(t). \]
With three terms in the sum we can construct more complicated shapes.

\[
e^{15it} - \frac{i}{2}e^{-2it} + \frac{i}{3}e^{-19it}
\]

\[
\frac{i}{3} e^{-6it} - e^{11it} - \frac{i}{2} e^{-23it}
\]

\[
\frac{i}{3} e^{2it} + i e^{-11it} - \frac{1}{2} e^{15it}
\]

\[
\frac{i}{3} e^{-23it} - i e^{10it} - \frac{1}{2} e^{-16it}
\]

\[
e^{it} + \frac{i}{3} e^{8it} + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i}{5}\right) e^{-20it}
\]

\[
e^{it} + \frac{i}{2} e^{6it} + \frac{i}{3} e^{-14it}
\]
Referendum pie

Mike Grannell

It was 2025 when the trouble really began. There had been some muttering prior to this, but in July 2025, Microsoft introduced Windows 25. Every time users of Office-Infinity entered the consecutive characters pi, the system automatically started to produce the decimal digits of π to unlimited accuracy. Previous versions had a similar bug, but this could be turned off with a simple expletive to the voice-recognition system. Windows 25 was different—it had a mind of its own and would shout back in highly offensive terms.

At this point, demand began to grow for a referendum on the true value of π. Older people seemed to prefer 22/7, but there were vigorous arguments in support of alternative values. The Sun newspaper was strongly in favour of taking the value to be 3 on grounds of simplicity, and it didn’t care for the symbol π either, decrying it to be a foreign import. The Daily Mail and the Daily Express felt that their readers had had enough of so-called experts, particularly mathematicians and the like. Many of these people, it was alleged, were wasting a fortune computing π to billions of decimal places—in fact over 350 million digits per week on some reckoning. Overseas mathematicians were especially vilified as corrupting the innate simplicity of the English character by their slavish addiction to spurious accuracy. The public were informed that many foreign symbols had been imported into mathematics and the expense of dealing with these became a key issue. The president of the London Mathematical Society inadvertently let slip that many numbers in common use were irrational, and some even transcendental! Papers were uncovered relating to surreal numbers and even to imaginary numbers. The prime minister eventually conceded that a referendum would be held on 14th March 2026.

A close colleague of the London Mathematical Society president, a person with ambition for this post, suddenly switched sides and wrote extensive articles to the effect that a few decimal places would suffice, including one for The Times entitled ‘Cutting π down to size’. He confessed that he had secretly felt this way for years, but had been unwilling to offend his erstwhile friend. Televised debates followed between the ‘Pi is finite’ and the ‘Hands off π’ camps. Foreign mathematicians were aghast. The ‘Pi is finite’ camp vigorously pushed the concept of simplicity and promised to replace π by p (to be pronounced pee). They were challenged to specify how many decimal places they would use, but their answers were evasive and varied.
considerably. It was also pointed out that although \( \pi \) was a foreign (Greek) letter, \( p \) itself was a Roman import. But none of this seemed to stick. The \textit{Star} ran a leader with the headline ‘Pee off pi’. The ‘Hands off \( \pi \)’ group promised catastrophic disaster if \( \pi \) were to be redefined. They were portrayed in most of the media as elitist snobs and know-alls. Probably this was not helped by articles in the \textit{Guardian} with headlines such as ‘Why \( \pi \) will affect future generations and why its redefinition will lead to untold economic disasters in the distant future’.

The result of the referendum was narrow but, nevertheless, there was a clear victory for the ‘Pi is finite’ campaign, now renamed the ‘P is finite’ group. Media pundits analysing the result opined that the great English public had finally taken revenge for the mathematics that they had been forced to endure at school. The president of the London Mathematical Society resigned and there was a considerable revolt at the Institute of Mathematics and its Applications, whose president was regarded as having been insufficiently supportive of the ‘Hands off \( \pi \)’ campaign. Some backtrackers demanded a second vote, while others reluctantly agreed to settle for \( \frac{355}{113} \).

By 2040 we still don’t have a definitive value for \( \pi \), or \( p \) as it is now called. However, it is now illegal hate-speech to claim that it has infinitely many decimal places. A popular choice is \( p = \frac{22}{7} \), and the ‘P is finite’ team are constantly assuring people that things like wheels not being completely circular are merely transitional problems. England was forced out of the World Cup in 2038 for using a ball judged insufficiently spherical, and was beaten in the Mathematical Olympiad by the Vatican City youth team. Most international scientific societies expelled the English representatives, although this was hailed as a triumph by the English press. The University Research Excellence Framework was revised to promote the National Excellence category above the International Excellence category.

Meanwhile, at Heysham nuclear power station, and unknown to everyone, the non-circular reactor containment vessel has just developed the tiniest of cracks.

It was the kind of night I had never known. This was night to the power of night to the power of night. This was night cubed.

— M. Haig, \textit{The Humans}

Exercise for reader: What is the value of night?
The allure of magic squares

Eddie Kent

In M500 we frequently talk about magic squares. In fact I once offered a prize of $100 for a particular one, which no one has bothered to claim yet. However, here is an astonishing result I just read about. The smallest possible magic square of 7th powers (it is said) was recently (2013) constructed by Toshihiro Shirakawa. Its size is 144 × 144 and its magic sum is

\[ \pi = 3.141592653589793238462643383279502884197169399375105 \]

and these are the first 52 digits of \( \pi \). Clearly this gives an elegant way of producing \( \pi \) on request, rather than having to learn all those tedious mnemonics; just knock up the correct magic square and read it off. Also of course this proves that \( \pi \) is not random at all but contains magical properties. Or might if it weren’t that with a big enough ‘magic’ square you can stuff nearly anything into it with a bit of ingenuity. Look what Dürer did.

He keeps bob bob bobbin’ along

Dave Wild

Oxford University Press have published over 200 books in a series of Very Short Introductions to a variety of subjects. A recent addition is one on Combinatorics by Robin Wilson, Emeritus Professor at the OU. It mentions that parts of the book follow the general approach of TM361, Graphs, Networks and Design, which ran from 1981 to 1994. If you look on Amazon then the table of contents and index will give you a good idea of the scope of the book.

I found the parts of the book I have read to be well written. In the chapter on Permutations and Combinations, ‘Another important result Combination rule 2’ was the same as Combination rule 1.

This proves the book was based on an OU course. Robin’s An Introduction to Graph Theory which was first published in 1972 reached its 5th edition in 2010. He is to be congratulated on its longevity.

Problem 273.3 – Rational integral

Show that

\[ \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{(\cos x)(\sin \frac{x}{2})}{(\cos \frac{x}{3})(\sin \frac{x}{4})} \, dx = -\frac{368}{55}. \]
Problem 273.4 – Sums of reciprocals of primes

It is clear (TF thinks) that a sum of reciprocals of distinct primes can never add up to 1. If not 1, what about something that is nearly 1? Can you find sets of distinct primes \( \{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_r\} \) such that

\[
\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p_r} = \frac{a \pm 1}{a}
\]

for some integer \( a \), as, for example, \( 1/2 + 1/3 + 1/7 = 41/42 \)? Are there infinitely many examples?

M500 Mathematics Revision Weekend 2017

The forty-third M500 Revision Weekend will be held at

Kents Hill Park Training and Conference Centre,  
Milton Keynes, MK7 6BZ  
from Friday 12th to Sunday 14th May 2017.

The standard cost, including accommodation (with en suite facilities) and all meals from dinner on Friday evening to lunch on Sunday is £285. The standard cost for non-residents, including Saturday and Sunday lunch, is £170. There will be an early booking period up to 11th April with a discount of £20 for both members and non-members.

Members may make a reservation with a £25 deposit, with the balance payable at the end of February. Non-members must pay in full at the time of application and all applications received after 28th February 2017 must be paid in full before the booking is confirmed. Members will be entitled to a discount of £15 for all applications.

There is free on-site parking for those travelling by private transport. For full details and an application form see the Society’s web site at

www.m500.org.uk.

The Weekend is open to all Open University students, and is designed to help with revision and exam preparation. We expect to offer tutorials for most undergraduate and postgraduate mathematics OU modules, subject to the availability of tutors and sufficient applications.

Please note that the venue is not the same as last year.
Problem 273.5 – Twisted prisms

The edge chromatic number, $\chi'(G)$, of a graph $G$ is the minimum number of colours required to colour the edges of $G$ such that any two edges meeting at a vertex have distinct colours.

Let $n \geq 3$ and $d < n/2$ be positive integers and construct a 3-regular graph $P_{n,d}$ as follows. There are $2n$ vertices, $A_i$ and $B_i$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. The edges are $\{A_i, A_{i+1 \mod n}\}$, $\{B_i, B_{i+d \mod n}\}$ and $\{A_i, B_i\}$, $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Some examples are on the front cover.

Show that $\chi'(P_{n,d}) = 3$, with precisely one exception: $n = 5$, $d = 2$, also known as the Petersen graph, where $\chi'(P_{5,2}) = 4$. 

Front cover  Twisted prisms